

Premier League Key Match Incidents
Match Round 2 - 2026/27

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	On field decision (KMI type + descriptor)	Time (MM:SS)	Lead Official	On-field decision outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	Panel commentary
2	Crystal Palace	Manchester City	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Strand Larsen (CRY)	05:59	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a potential handball offence against Strand Larsen was supported. The ball struck him on the thigh before deflecting onto his arm.
2	Liverpool	Nottingham Forest	KMI Goal awarded. Jesus (NFO) in an onside position	23:30	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside decision to award the goal was supported.
2	Liverpool	Nottingham Forest	KMI Goal awarded. Frimpong (LIV) in an onside position	31:50	Assistant Referee	Incorrect - Frimpong offside	0:5	Y	Correct - factual offside	5:0	The VAR overturn to disallow the goal for an offside offence against Frimpong was supported. When the ball was played, by Wirtz, Frimpong was in an offside position and then plays the ball himself. Whilst the pass makes contact with a defender this is not a 'controlled action'.
2	Liverpool	Nottingham Forest	Penalty awarded. Challenge by Alisson (LIV) on Williams (NFO)	67:43	Referee	Incorrect - no foul by Alisson	2:3	N	Correct - not a clear and obvious error	5:0	The panel felt that the referee's call of a penalty was incorrect on-field, 3:2. The contact from Alisson was inevitable as a consequence of his momentum in attempting to save the ball - the contact made was not reckless and below the threshold for a penalty. However, the non-intervention by the VAR was unanimously supported on the basis that it was not a clear and obvious error.
2	Bournemouth	Everton	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Tavernier (BOU) on Tarkowski (EVE)	17:07	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported, with both players engaging in holding actions that are below the threshold for being penalised.
2	Bournemouth	Everton	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Hill (BOU) on Dewsbury-Hall (EVE)	54:09	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported with this being deemed a collision between the two players rather than a foul.
2	Bournemouth	Everton	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Scott (BOU)	73:55	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a potential handball offence against Scott was supported as the arm was in a justifiable position.
2	Coventry City	Hull City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Thomas (COV) on Stroud (HUL)	23:01	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported, with the contact not meeting the threshold for a penalty kick.
2	Tottenham Hotspur	Newcastle	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Thiaw (NEW) on Marmoush (TOT)	46:44	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported, 4:1. There was a genuine challenge for the ball by Thiaw with some contact made with Marmoush, but this was below the threshold for a penalty kick.
2	Tottenham Hotspur	Newcastle	KMI Goal awarded. Dedic (NEW) in an onside position	61:08	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision to award the goal was supported, with the close margin falling within the thicker lines, leading to an onside outcome.
2	Tottenham Hotspur	Newcastle	KMI Goal awarded. Wissa (NEW) in an onside position	71:53	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside decision to award the goal was supported.
2	Tottenham Hotspur	Newcastle	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Botman (NEW)	84:20	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a potential handball offence against Botman was supported. The ball strikes his arm, by his side, in an expected position.
2	Chelsea	Brighton & Hove Albion	KMI Goal awarded. Kostoulas (BHA) in an onside position	34:48	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside decision to award the goal was supported.
2	Chelsea	Brighton & Hove Albion	DOGSO dismissal not given. Challenge by Dunk (BHA) on Pedro (CHE)	69:20	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of no red card for a DOGSO offence. The play was wide, a long way from goal, with a covering defender in a central position - all mitigating against an obvious goal-scoring opportunity.
2	Leeds United	Brentford	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Kayode (BRE)	65:20	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a potential handball offence against Kayode was supported. His arm was within his bodyline as he turned to block.
2	Leeds United	Brentford	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Bogle (LDS) on Schade (BRE)	65:37	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Bogle	1:4	N	Correct - not a clear and obvious error	3:2	The panel felt that a penalty should have been awarded for a foul by Bogle on Schade, 4:1. There was foot on foot contact instigated by Bogle with enough contact for a penalty kick. However, given the high threshold for VAR intervention, the panel felt the referee's call should remain on-field as it wasn't a clear and obvious error, 3:2.
2	Manchester United	Ipswich Town	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Fatawu (IPS) on Mbuemo (MUN)	35:59	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no red card for a challenge by Fatawu on Mbuemo was unanimously supported as correct. The challenge was low, reckless, and lacking the excessive force required for serious foul play.
2	Manchester United	Ipswich Town	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by O'Shea (IPS) on Tielemans (MUN)	42:34	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported. There was an arm placed on the back of Tielemans, but far below the threshold for a penalty.
2	Manchester United	Ipswich Town	KMI Goal awarded. Handball appeal against Maguire (MUN)	55:55	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	4:1	Maguire's arms are within his bodyline meaning that the contact with his right arm was accidental and not a punishable handball offence given that Maguire was not the goal scorer. The shot was clearly off target and then deflected in via the defender for a clear own goal. The panel supported the referee's call to award the goal, 4:1, with one panellist considering the handball to be deliberate.
2	Manchester United	Ipswich Town	Penalty awarded. Challenge by Greaves (IPS) on Cunha (MUN)	58:36	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field call of a penalty was unanimously supported. A clear foul by Greaves on Cunha as he ran through on goal with a correct yellow card for DOGSO as it was a genuine challenge for the ball.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Second caution dismissal not given. Challenge by Tzolis (ARS) on McGinn (AVL)	35:13	Referee	Correct	5:0	N/A	N/A	N/A	The non-award of a second caution was unanimously supported with a good advantage played and therefore the challenge did not stop the promising attack. The panel also felt that the first caution was below the threshold.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Torres (AVL) on Calafiori (ARS)	40:14	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of no penalty with the contact made being below the threshold for a penalty.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Penalty awarded. Challenge by Maatsen (AVL) on Saka (ARS)	53:43	Referee	Incorrect - factually outside the area	0:5	Y	Correct - factual overturn	5:0	The VAR intervention to award a free kick instead of a penalty was unanimously supported with the foul occurring just outside the area.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Kamara (AVL) on Havertz (ARS)	66:48	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of no penalty with the contact made being below the threshold for a penalty.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by White (ARS) on Maatsen (AVL)	67:54	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of no penalty with the contact made being below the threshold for a penalty.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Maatsen (AVL) on Guimaraes (ARS)	90:00+07:27	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Maatsen	0:5	N	Incorrect - clear and obvious error	0:5	The panel felt that a penalty should have been awarded on-field for Maatsen's reckless challenge on Guimaraes. Clear contact was made on the attacker's standing leg and Maatsen made no contact with the ball. They also felt that VAR should have intervened as the non-penalty award was a clear and obvious error.
2	Aston Villa	Arsenal	Second caution dismissal not given. Challenge by Maatsen (AVL) on Guimaraes (ARS)	90:00+07:28	Referee	Incorrect	0:5	N/A	N/A	N/A	The panel felt that the challenge was reckless and therefore a second caution should have been awarded.

The decision outcomes are decided by a panel of 5, consisting of PL representative (1), PGMOL representative (1) and independent (ex-players/managers) representatives (3)