Premier League Key Match Incidents
Match Round 8 - 2026/27

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	On field decision (KMI type + descriptor)	Time (MM:SS)	Lead Official	On-field decision outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	Panel commentary
8	Ipswich Town	Nottingham Forest	KMI Goal awarded. Challenge by Gibbs-White (NFO) on O'Shea (IPS)	12:11	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The decision to award the goal was supported. The contact from Gibbs-White doesn't meet the threshold to penalise for a foul.
8	Ipswich Town	Nottingham Forest	DOGSO dismissal not given. Challenge by Diop (IPS) on McAtee (NFO)	47:21	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel noted that the challenge from Diop is a clear cautionable offence but agreed that the challenge does not fully meet the criteria for DOGSO, as McAtee takes a heavy touch before the foul meaning there is doubt as to whether he would gain possession/control of the ball. In addition there is a covering defender that is level with the attacker. Therefore, the panel supported the referee's decision to retain the no DOGSO call after the VAR review - issuing a yellow card for stopping a promising attack.
8	Aston Villa	Manchester City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Gomes (AVL) on Fernandez (MCI)	18:22	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported. Gomes does place his hands on Fernandez but the light push is not enough to meet the threshold for a penalty kick.
8	Aston Villa	Manchester City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Anderson (MCI) on Manzambi (AVL)	29:23	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Anderson	2:3	N	Correct - not a clear and obvious error	3:2	The on-field no penalty call was deemed incorrect, 3:2. Anderson makes no attempt to win the ball and makes his body bigger by moving across to block Manzambi. The panel supported the VAR's decision to not intervene, 3:2, as they felt the subjectivity of the decision meant it should remain referee's call. Two panellists would have supported an intervention for a clear and obvious error.
8	Aston Villa	Manchester City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Maatsen (AVL) on Ndiaye (MCI)	30:25	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported. The defender does go to ground, but Ndiaye makes contact with him first and there's no foul from Maatsen.
8	Aston Villa	Manchester City	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Anderson (MCI) on Gomes (AVL)	73:22	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no red card decision was supported. The point of contact is low and the challenge is reckless rather than serious foul play.
8	Coventry City	Fulham	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Thomas-Asante (COV) on Bassey (FUL)	45:00+00:42	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The outcome of no penalty was supported by the panel. There is a clear and impactful holding action by Bassey before the ball is in play from the corner kick, followed by a subsequent holding action by Thomas-Asante when the ball is in play. The panel felt the VAR correctly identified both actions. In this situation, as Bassey commits an offence before the ball is in play and the holding action of Thomas-Asante met the threshold for a penalty to be awarded, the VAR should recommend an on-field review to allow the referee to consider both holding actions and reach the correct outcome of retaken corner.
8	Coventry City	Fulham	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Charles (FUL)	90:00+01:30	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no handball call was supported as his arm is beside his body, in a justifiable position.
8	Arsenal	Everton	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Garner (EVE)	18:58	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no handball decision was supported. The defender uses a supporting arm which is in a natural position given his actions.
8	Arsenal	Everton	KMI Goal awarded. White (ARS) in an onside position	67:58	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside call was supported.
8	Chelsea	Tottenham Hotspur	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Colwill (CHE) on van de Ven (TOT)	54:15	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported. The challenge made by Colwill does not warrant the exaggerated fall from van de Ven.
8	Chelsea	Tottenham Hotspur	Sending off dismissal not given. Actions of Palmer (CHE) on Fernandes (TOT)	69:37	Referee	Incorrect - violent conduct by Palmer	2:3	N	Correct - not a clear and obvious error	5:0	The on-field no red card decision was deemed incorrect, 3:2. The majority felt that Palmer's action on Fernandes was clear contact to the head as well as unnecessary, dangerous and made with excessive force. Two panellists supported the on-field call as they viewed the action as aggressive with no excessive force. All panellists supported the VAR's decision to not intervene and support the referee's call given that a yellow card was awarded on-field.
8	Chelsea	Tottenham Hotspur	KMI Goal awarded. Challenge by van Hecke (TOT) on Penders (CHE)	76.20	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision to award the goal was supported. The contact from van Hecke doesn't impact the goalkeeper's challenge for the ball or meet the threshold for a foul.
8	Chelsea	Tottenham Hotspur	Second caution dismissal given. Challenge by Palmer (CHE) on Gallagher (TOT)	79:31	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field second yellow card decision was supported, 4:1. The challenge from Palmer is reckless and avoidable. One panellist deemed this incorrect for a careless foul rather than reckless but supported the VAR not intervening as this decision should remain referee's call.
8	Chelsea	Tottenham Hotspur	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Caicedo (CHE) on Solanke (TOT)	84:26	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Caicedo	2:3	N	Correct - not a clear and obvious error	3:2	The on-field no penalty call was deemed incorrect, 3:2. The majority felt Caicedo was persistently holding Solanke whilst facing away from the ball and impacting the ability of the opponent to progress. Two panellists supported the on-field call as they felt both players are engaging and Caicedo is twisting to look at the ball. The VAR decision to not intervene was supported, 3:2.
8	Hull City	Brentford	Penalty awarded. Challenge by Hickey (BRE) on Iroegbunam (HUL)	21:59	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field penalty call was supported. Hickey makes a clear foul from behind on Iroegbunam.
8	Hull City	Brentford	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by van den Berg (BRE) on Slater (HUL)	31:36	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty decision was supported. van den Berg does make contact as he stands up, but not enough to meet the threshold for a penalty kick.
8	Hull City	Brentford	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Sangare (BRE) on Belloumi (HUL)	60:20	Referee	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported, 3:2. The majority felt that the contact from Sangare did not meet the threshold to award a penalty kick and that Belloumi stepped across Sangare. Two panellists deemed the on-field decision incorrect as Sangare's contact is careless and clumsy as Belloumi is in possession of the ball. All panellists supported the no VAR intervention as this challenge should remain referee's call on-field.
8	Liverpool	Brighton & Hove Albion	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Mac Allister (LIV)	61:33	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported. Mac Allister's arms are in a natural position.
8	Liverpool	Brighton & Hove Albion	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Jacquet (LIV) on Kostoulas (BHA)	82:04	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The no penalty call was supported. Kostoulas gets his shot away and the contact from Jacquet afterwards is not reckless.
8	Manchester United	Bournemouth	KMI Goal awarded. Hill (BOU) in an offside position - not interfering	37:40	Assistant Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	4:1	The on-field decision to award the goal was supported, 4:1. Hill is in an offside position but not impacting the defender's ability to play the ball. One panellist deemed the on-field decision incorrect and would have supported a VAR intervention as Hill impacts Santos' run to defend the ball.
8	Manchester United	Bournemouth	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Silva (BOU) on Amad (MUN)	45.00+00.25	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported. The defender is committed but makes no challenge.
8	Manchester United	Bournemouth	KMI Goal not awarded. Evanilson (BOU) in an offside position	45:22	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside call was supported.
8	Manchester United	Bournemouth	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Adams (BOU) on Mbeumo (MUN)	59.17	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported. Mbeumo doesn't have possession of the ball and both players are competing for the space.
8	Manchester United	Bournemouth	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Evanilson (BOU)	72:54	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no handball call was supported as his arm is in a natural position.
8	Crystal Palace	Newcastle United	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Schar (NEW) on Kamada (CRY)	17:38	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty call was supported - normal contact as both players are competing for the ball.
8	Crystal Palace	Newcastle United	KMI Goal awarded. Willock (NEW) in an onside position	51:08	Assistant Referee	Incorrect - Willock offside	0:5	Y	Correct - factual offside	5:0	The VAR intervention to disallow the goal was supported as Willock was in an offside position.
8	Sunderland	Leeds United	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Bogle (LDS) on Mandava (SUN)	66:10	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no red card decision was supported. Bogle's foot is high but there is little force or intensity in the contact.
8	Sunderland	Leeds United	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Roefs (SUN) on Calvert-Lewin (LDS)	75:44	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no penalty decision was supported. Roefs gets clear contact on the ball.
8	Sunderland	Leeds United	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Tanaka (LDS)	83:28	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field no handball call was supported as the ball is deflected towards Tanaka at speed.

The decision outcomes are decided by a panel of 5, consisting of PL representative (1), Pro Ref representative (1) and independent (ex-players/managers) representatives (3)