Premier League Key Match Incidents
Match Round 9 - 2026/27

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	On field decision (KMI type + descriptor)	Time (MM:SS)	Lead Official	On-field decision outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	Panel commentary
9	Chelsea	Manchester United	KMI Goal not awarded. Estevao (CHE) in an offside position	14:20	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field offside decision to disallow the goal was supported.
9	Chelsea	Manchester United	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Caicedo (CHE) on Maguire (MUN)	30:15	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	Both players were engaged with each other, making similar actions, and the referee's call of no penalty was supported.
9	Chelsea	Manchester United	KMI Goal awarded. Cunha (MUN) in an onside position	33:25	Assistant Referee	Incorrect - Cunha offside	0:5	Y	Correct - factual offside	5:0	The VAR overturn to disallow the goal for an offside offence against Cunha was supported.
9	Chelsea	Manchester United	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Martinez (MUN) on Fofana (CHE)	59:58	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Martinez	0:5	Y	Correct - clear and obvious error	5:0	The VAR overturn to award a penalty for a reckless foul by Martinez on Fofana was supported unanimously. The VAR intervened because of a clearly missed penalty and whilst reviewing the footage the VAR concluded that the foul was reckless.
9	Chelsea	Manchester United	Second Caution Dismissal not given. Challenge by Martinez (MUN) on Fofana (CHE)	59:58	Referee	Incorrect	0:5	N/A	N/A	5:0	The referee's decision to award a second caution for the reckless challenge by Martinez after the VAR review was also unanimously supported.
9	Chelsea	Manchester United	KMI Goal awarded. Estevao (CHE) in an onside position	70:34	Assistant Referee	Incorrect - Estevao offside	0:5	Y	Correct - factual offside	5:0	The VAR overturn to disallow the goal for an offside offence against Estevao was supported.
9	Bournemouth	Leeds United	KMI Goal awarded. Handball appeal against Calvert-Lewin (LDS)	10:53	Referee	Incorrect - handball by Calvert-Lewin	0:5	Y	Correct - factual handball	5:0	The VAR intervention to disallow the goal for a handball offence by Calvert-Lewin was supported. The ball struck the attacker's arm before going directly into the goal.
9	Coventry City	Sunderland	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Torp (COV) on Rigg (SUN)	06:08	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	Torp stepped on top of Rigg's foot in a reckless challenge but below the threshold for a red card. The referee's call of a yellow card was supported.
9	Coventry City	Sunderland	Sending off dismissal not given. Actions of Sangulo (SUN) on Sakamoto (COV)	42:48	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of no red card for a potential hair pulling offence. Whilst contact is made with the hair, the contact was fleeting and negligible. With the change of interpretation this season allowing the referee's to use their professional judgement, the decision was supported unanimously.
9	Coventry City	Sunderland	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Pinnock (COV)	61:59	Referee	Incorrect - handball by Pinnock	2:3	Y	Correct - clear and obvious error	3:2	Pinnock's arm was up at shoulder level and extended outwards making his body unjustifiably bigger and blocking a shot towards goal. The panel supported the referee's call of penalty for a handball offence, 3:2. Two panellists felt that Pinnock's arm placement was expected given his blocking action and the shot was not on target.
9	Coventry City	Sunderland	Second Caution Dismissal given. Challenge by Alderete (SUN) on Rudoni (COV)	90:00+08:15	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of a sending off for two cautions, with the second caution issued for stopping a promising attack.
9	Manchester City	Brighton & Hove Albion	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Gomez (BHA)	08:18	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The no penalty decision for a potential handball offence against Ayari was supported with the ball deflecting off his teammate from close proximity.
9	Manchester City	Brighton & Hove Albion	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Dunk (BHA)	14:49	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The no penalty decision for a potential handball offence against Dunk was supported.
9	Manchester City	Brighton & Hove Albion	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Vuskovic (BHA)	43:10	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The no penalty decision for a potential handball offence against Vuskovic was supported.
9	Manchester City	Brighton & Hove Albion	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Dunk (BHA)	45:00+01:08	Assistant Referee	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	3:2	The no penalty decision for a potential handball offence against Dunk was supported, 3:2. The ball struck Dunk on the thigh/arm before hitting his opposite arm, with his arms down by his side. Two panellists felt that Dunk had enough time to reposition his arms given the distance the ball travelled and considered this a punishable handball.
9	Brentford	Nottingham Forest	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Ajer (BRE)	09:02	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The no penalty decision for a potential handball offence against Ajer was supported, with his arms inside his bodyline.
9	Brentford	Nottingham Forest	KMI Goal awarded. Challenge by Thiago (BRE) on Cunha (NFO)	29:02	Fourth Official	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the on-field award of the goal with the contact made by Thiago on Cunha not impacting the outcome of the goal.
9	Hull City	Ipswich Town	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Egan (HUL)	17:31	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	5:0	The no penalty decision for a potential handball offence against Egan was supported, 4:1.
9	Hull City	Ipswich Town	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Mendy (HUL) on O'Shea (IPS)	17:31	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Mendy	1:4	N	Incorrect - clear and obvious error	1:4	This was a split decision, 4:1, with the majority feeling that there was a clear sustained holding offence by Mendy and a penalty should have been awarded. One panellist considered that the actions of O'Shea and Florentino should have been taken into account, meaning no penalty.
9	Hull City	Ipswich Town	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Mendy (HUL) on O'Shea (IPS)	20:19	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The outcome of no penalty was supported by the panel. There is a clear and impactful holding action by O'Shea before the ball is in play from the corner kick, followed by a clear block by Florentino after the ball is in play, both prior to the holding action by Mendy. In this situation O'Shea commits an offence before the ball is in play, and Florentino makes a clear block when the ball is in play before the holding action by Mendy. As the holding action of Mendy meets the threshold for a clear and obvious error, a VAR intervention to recommend an on-field review should have occurred to allow the referee to consider all of the events in chronological order to reach the outcome of no penalty and a retaken corner.
9	Hull City	Ipswich Town	KMI Goal not awarded. Challenge by Fatawu (IPS) on McBurnie (HUL)	33:17	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's on-field call to award a foul by Fatawu on McBurnie before the goal was scored.
9	Hull City	Ipswich Town	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Mendy (HUL) on Emersonn (IPS)	53:00	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	4:1	The panel supported the referee's call of no penalty on the basis that the contact from the challenge by Mendy was just outside the area, 4:1.
9	Hull City	Ipswich Town	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Giles (HUL) on Daizen (IPS)	72:19	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Giles on Maeda was supported.
9	Tottenham Hotspur	Crystal Palace	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Mateta (CRY) on Van de Ven (TOT)	10:26	Referee	Incorrect - serious foul play by Mateta	1:4	Y	Correct - clear and obvious error	4:1	The VAR intervention to issue a red card for serious foul play was supported, 4:1. The challenge from Mateta was late, with a high degree of force/intensity and makes contact with the Achillies. One panellist felt it wasn't a clear and obvious error for intervention and supported the on-field call of a yellow card.
9	Tottenham Hotspur	Crystal Palace	KMI Goal not awarded. Kudus (TOT) in an offside position	84:17	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field offside decision to disallow the goal was supported.
9	Aston Villa	Fulham	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Robinson (FUL) on Alysson (AVL)	05:31	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Robsinson was supported. The Fulham defender was slightly ahead of the Aston Villa attacker when contact was made and the contact was below the threshold for a foul.
9	Aston Villa	Fulham	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Berge (FUL)	11:45	Referee	Incorect - hanbdall by Berge	0:5	Y	Correct - clear and obvious error	5:0	The VAR intervention to award a penalty for a handball offence by Berge was supported unanimously with the ball hitting the arm that was in an unjustifiable position above his head.
9	Aston Villa	Fulham	KMI Goal awarded. Challenge by Charles (FUL) on Suzuki (AVL)	63:50	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision to award the goal was supported with no foul made on Suzuki.
9	Aston Villa	Fulham	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Berge (FUL)	90:00+03:49	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The penalty appeal for a potential handball offence against Berge was supported, with his arms inside his bodyline.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Timber (ARS) on Isak (LIV)	19:57	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Timber	1:4	N	Incorrect - clear and obvious error	2:3	The panel felt that a penalty should have been awarded for a holding action by Timber on Isak, 4:1. One panellist considered the short-lived holding to lack clear impact on the ability of Isak to progress. The majority also felt that VAR should have intervened to recommend an on-field review, 3:2, on the basis that it was a clear and obvious error. Two panellists considered that the subjectivity in assessing impact meant this did not meet the high threshold for VAR intervention.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	DOGSO Dismissal not given. Challenge by Timber (ARS) on Isak (LIV)	19:57	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel unanimously felt that there was no DOGSO offence.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Timber (ARS) on MacAllister (LIV)	28:21	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Timber on MacAllister was supported.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Tzolis (ARS) on Gakpo (LIV)	28:35	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Tzolis on Gakpo was supported with the contact deemed below the threshold for a foul.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Timber (ARS)	34:06	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The penalty appeal for a potential handball offence against Tiimber was supported with the ball striking his supporting arm.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	Second Caution Dismissal not given. Actions of Madueke (ARS) on MacAllister (LIV)	78:12	Referee	Correct	5:0	N/A	N/A	5:0	The panel unanimously felt that the referee's call of no second caution for the actions of Madueke was correct.
9	Liverpool	Arsenal	Sending off dismissal not given. Actions of Madueke (ARS) on MacAllister (LIV)	78:12	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	As above, the panel unanimously felt that the referee was correct not to issue a straight red card for the same incident as contact was negligible and the reaction of the opponent was clearly exaggerated.
9	Newcastle United	Everton	KMI Goal awarded. Barry (EVE) in an onside position	48:22	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside decision to award the goal was supported.
9	Newcastle United	Everton	KMI Goal awarded. Challenge by Barry (EVE) on Schar (NEW)	48:22	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the referee's call of no foul by Barry on Schar. Barry was ahead of the Newcastle defender and the contact was below the threshold for a foul.
9	Newcastle United	Everton	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Rohl (EVE) on Hall (NEW)	68:12	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Rohl on Hall was supported.
9	Newcastle United	Everton	Second Caution Dismissal given. Challenge by Ramsey (NEW) on Armstrong (EVE)	90:00+07:13	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel unanimously supported the referee's call of a second caution for Ramsey for a reckless challenge.

The decision outcomes are decided by a panel of 5, consisting of PL representative (1), Pro Ref representative (1) and independent (ex-players/managers) representatives (3)