Premier League Key Match Incidents
Match Round 10 - 2026/27

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	On field decision (KMI type + descriptor)	Time (MM:SS)	Lead Official	On-field decision outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (correct / incorrect)	Panel commentary
10	Everton	Coventry City	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Tarkowski (EVE)	37:54	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The penalty appeal for a potential handball offence against Tarkowski was supported with his arm raised as part of his jumping motion in a justifiable position.
10	Everton	Coventry City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Tarkowski (EVE) on Yirenkyi (COV)	37:54	Referee	Incorrect - foul by Tarkowski	0:5	N	Incorrect - clear and obvious error	0:5	Tarkowski had a hold of Yirenkyi's hair as the Coventry attacker attempted to challenge for the ball. The panel unanimously felt that this was a punishable offence and that a penalty and a yellow card should have been awarded under the new guidelines for hair pulling actions this season. They also felt that VAR should have intervened, 0:5, as it was a clear and obvious error.
10	Everton	Coventry City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Mykolenko (EVE) on Awoniyi (COV)	46:39	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Mykolenko on Awonyi was supported on the basis that the contact, which they unanimously believed was a foul, occurred just outside the area.
10	Everton	Coventry City	KMI Goal awarded. Sakamoto (COV) in an onside position	51:21	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside call to award the goal was supported.
10	Everton	Coventry City	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Armstrong (EVE)	53:43	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The penalty appeal for a potential handball offence against Armstrong was supported, as his arm was in a justifiable position .
10	Everton	Coventry City	KMI Goal awarded. Handball appeal against Barry (EVE)	60:24	Referee	Correct	2:3	N	Correct	5:0	This was a split decision, 2:3, with the majority feeling that on the balnce of probability the ball did accidentally strike Barry's hand before directly entering the goal. However, the non-VAR intervention was supported on the basis that the readily available footage did not provide conclusive evidence, which is the gateway for VAR intervention in factual matters.
10	Everton	Coventry City	KMI Goal awarded. Dewsbury Hall (EVE) in an onside position	80:21	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field onside call to award the goal was supported.
10	Everton	Coventry City	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Branthwaite (EVE) on Yirenkyi (COV)	87:40	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no red card for a challenge by Branthwaite on Yirenkyi was supported as the contact was low and reckless rather than dangerous.
10	Leeds United	Tottenham Hotspur	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Fernandes (TOT)	03:42	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The penalty appeal for a potential handball offence against Fernandes was supported as whilst he clearly leant into the path of the ball, the contact with the arm was in the "green zone" and therefore not punishable.
10	Leeds United	Tottenham Hotspur	Sending off dismissal not given. Challenge by Bogle (LDS) on Tel (TOT)	33:06	Referee	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	3:2	This was a split decision with the majority supporting the referee's on field call of no red card. The panel considered this to be a clear foul but the majority felt Bogle was looking to challenge with his right leg and his left leg came down on the back of Tel's ankle without the speed, force or intensity to be serious foul play. They felt that the contact made was very clearly reckless, but below the threshold for a red card. Two Panellists felt that the challenge was sufficiently dangerous to merit a red card. The non-VAR intervention was also supported, 3:2, on the basis that the majority considered the challenge to be reckless, therefore not a clear and obvious error.
10	Arsenal	Hull City	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Mendy (HUL) on Havertz (ARS)	11:20	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty for a challenge by Mendy on Havertz was supported.
10	Arsenal	Hull City	Penalty not awarded. Havertz (ARS) in an offside position	41:02	Assistant Referee	Incorrect	0:5	N	Incorrect	0:5	Although Harvertz is clearly in an offside position, there is a clear and impactful holding action by Mendy before any potential offside offence by Havertz. Given the chronology of events, a penalty should have been awarded. The panel consdered the VAR intervention was correct; however, the outcome after the on-field review was considered to be incorrect as a penalty should have been awarded.
10	Fulham	Newcastle United	KMI Goal not awarded. Challenge by Wissa (NEW) on Castagne (FUL)	32:22	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on field call to disallow the goal for a foul by Wissa on Castagne was unanimously supported.
10	Fulham	Newcastle United	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Burn (NEW) on Berge (FUL)	73:31	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported. The contact by Burn was deemed to be below the threshold for a foul as Berge lost some of his balance as he tried to change direction to follow the ball.
10	Brighton & Hove Albion	Brentford	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Ajer (BRE) on Ayari (BHA)	03:27	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The potential penalty for a challenge by Ajer on Ayari was supported as correct.
10	Brighton & Hove Albion	Brentford	Sending off, dismissal not given. Challenge by Ajer (BRE) on De Cuyper (BHA)	04:42	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on field call of no red card for Ajer stepping on the heel of De Cuyper was supported with the contact below the threshold for a sending off.
10	Brighton & Hove Albion	Brentford	Sending off, dismissal not given. Actions of Thiago (BRE) on Chema (BHA)	20:30	Referee	Correct	2:3	Y	Correct	3:2	The VAR intervention leading to a red card outcome for Thiago was supported, 3:2. Thiago's forearm made forceful contact with the face of Chema. Two Panellists felt that the referee dealt with it adequately on field and that the referee's call was not a clear and obvious error.
10	Brighton & Hove Albion	Brentford	Penalty awarded. Challenge by Lewis-Potter (BRE) on Vuskovic (BHA)	39:53	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call to award a penalty for a holding offence by Lewis-Potter on Vuskovic was supported, 4:1. One Panellist felt that the contact was principally driven by the attacker and exaggerated, therefore below the threshold for penalising holding actions.
10	Brighton & Hove Albion	Brentford	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Kayode (BRE) on Rutter (BHA)	86:23	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no penalty was supported with the contact judged to be below the threshold for a foul.
10	Crystal Palace	Liverpool	KMI Goal not awarded. Strand Larsen (CRY) in an offside position	32:21	Assistant Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field offside call to disallow the goal was supported.
10	Crystal Palace	Liverpool	Sending off, dismissal not given. Challenge by Mac Allister (LIV) on Wharton (CRY)	60:34	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	Mac Allister wins the ball before catching Wharton with his follow through. The panel supported the referee's call of no red card for serious foul play.
10	Crystal Palace	Liverpool	Penalty awarded. Challenge by Kerkez (LIV) on Osorio (CRY)	92:35	Referee	Incorrect - no foul by Kerkez	0:5	N	Correct -not a clear and obvious error	5:0	The panel unanimously felt that the referee's call of a penalty for a challenge by Kerkez on Osorio was incorrect with the contact being inevitable given the jumping actions of both players. However, the non-intervention of VAR was supported on the basis it was not a clear and obvious error.
10	Ipswich Town	Bournemouth	Penalty Awarded. Challenge by Cook (BOU) on Ouattara (IPS)	71:01	Referee	Incorrect - no foul by Cook	1:4	N	Correct -not a clear and obvious error	4:1	The panel felt that Outarra made a clear step across Cook who didn't make a challenge and therefore, felt that the referee's decision to award a penalty was incorrect, 4:1. However, the non-VAR intervention was supported with it not being judged a clear and obvious error, also 4:1.
10	Ipswich Town	Bournemouth	Second caution dismissal not given. Actions of Tavernier (BOU)	96:15	Referee	Incorrect	2:3	N/A	N/A	N/A	There was a clear, deliberate action by Tavernier to delay the restart and the panel felt that a second caution should have been issued in this instance, 3:2.
10	Sunderland	Chelsea	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by O'Nien (SUN) on Palmer (CHE)	22:04	Referee	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	4:1	There was clear contact made by O'Nien on Palmer which the panel felt should have been penalised with a free kick on the basis that contact was made outside the area, 4:1. One Panellist felt that the foul contact occured inside the area and a penalty should have been awarded.
10	Sunderland	Chelsea	Penalty Awarded. Challenge by Colwill (CHE) on Brobbey (SUN)	32:47	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of a penalty for a foul by Colwill on Brobbey was supported. There was upper body holding from both players as they progressed into the penalty area before a careless trip from the Chelsea defender.
10	Sunderland	Chelsea	Sending off, dismissal not given. Actions of Rogers (CHE) on Hume (SUN)	48:17	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call of no red card for violent conduct was supported with no clear evidence that this was a deliberate action by Rogers.
10	Sunderland	Chelsea	Penalty not awarded. Handball appeal against Lavia (CHE)	77:53	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The no-penalty decision for a potential handball offence by Lavia was supported as correct, as the ball is played by him and strikes his own arm.
10	Manchester United	Aston Villa	Penalty Awarded. Challenge by Kamara (AVL) on Cunha (MUN)	12:48	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel unanimously supported the referee's call to award a penalty for a foul by Kamara on Cunha, with the Villa defender making careless contact with the attacker before the ball.
10	Manchester United	Aston Villa	Penalty not awarded. Challenge by Fernandes (MUN) on Alysson (AVL)	45:00 + 3:02	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The contact made by Fernandes on Alysson was deemed to be minimal and below the threshold for a foul. The on-field call of no penalty was supported.
10	Manchester United	Aston Villa	Penalty Awarded. Challenge by Dalot (MUN) on Alysson (AVL)	46:25	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The referee's call to award a penalty for Dalot's careless challenge on Alysson was unanimously supported as correct.
10	Manchester United	Aston Villa	KMI Goal awarded. Challenge by Lammens (MUN) on Suzuki (AVL)	95:45	Referee	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel felt that although Lammens turned away from the ball, the contact he made on Suzuki was not impactful and below the threshold for a foul, and the goal was correctly awarded.

The decision outcomes are decided by a panel of 5, consisting of PL representative (1), Pro Ref representative (1) and independent (ex-players/managers) representatives (3)